

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMA NON NO
10/769,761	02/03/2004	Edward James Cargill	58029-13C	8914
75	90 03/08/2005		EXAM	INER
Terrence N. Kuharchuk			PICKARD, ALISON K	
Scotia Place, Tower Two 1501 - 10060 Jasper Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Edmonton, AB T5J 3R8 CANADA			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
N						
Office Action Summary	10/769,761	CARGILL, EDWARD JAMES				
omec Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Alison K. Pickard	3676				
Period for Reply	pours on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet of No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute that the period for reply will be statute the period for reply will be statute that the period for reply will be stat	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ARANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35.U.S.C. 6.133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		* *				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ⚠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Application  In the price is a second of the pr	on No. <u>0951</u> 5879 ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/769,761 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9, and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weeks (4,842,287).

Weeks discloses a seal assembly (which is capable of use with a rotatable component) comprising a seal element 30 retained by a seal housing 31 (or 32). The seal element is a compressible material and has an engagement surface. The engagement surface contacts an engagement surface of the housing having plural parallel and concentric circumferential grooves 37 (or 29), which provide an isolated gap and receive the seal material to restrain movement between the seal and housing. Item 32 (or 31) is considered a preloading mechanism that urges the engagement surfaces together on assembly.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endicott (3,830,508) in view of Bent (2,462,596).

Art Unit: 3676

Endicott discloses a seal assembly comprising a seal element 38 retained by a seal housing 32. The element and housing each have an engagement surface (at 64), which is oriented in a plane normal to a longitudinal axis. The seal element is comprised of a compressible material. A spring (preloading mechanism) 42 (or 78) urges the two engagement surfaces together. Endicott does not disclose a depression/groove that provides an isolation gap between the engagement surfaces. Bent teaches a depression (groove) 7 in a housing engagement surface. The depression provides for an isolated gap. Compressible material, of the seal element, is received in the gap to restrain movement of the seal relative to the housing. (See col. 2, lines 34-44, and col. 3, lines 3-20.) Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the seal assembly of Endicott with the depression as taught by Bent to ensure a more effective sealing arrangement and to prevent relative movement between the seal and housing.

Regarding claims 4-6 and 13-15, neither Endicott nor Bent discloses a plurality of depressions/grooves. Duplicating the groove so that there is a plurality of depressions/grooves is considered a design choice. See *In re Harza*, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the depressions so that there is a plurality as a matter of choice in design.

#### Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1 and 7-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,712,366. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim a seal assembly having a seal element and housing with engagement surfaces wherein the one is compressible and the other has at least one depression for receiving the material to prevent movement.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,761

Art Unit: 3676

761 Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

AP